SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JULY 18, 2008 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S165077 G040328 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

COX (DENNIS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review and application for stay denied

S018814

PEOPLE v. SALCIDO (RAMON)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 26, 2008, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S056997

PEOPLE v. WHISENHUNT (MICHAEL MC CREA)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 26, 2008, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S025520

PEOPLE v. WALDON (BILLY RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Wilbur H. Haines III's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 15, 2008. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 320 additional days are contemplated.

PEOPLE v. CUNNINGHAM (JOHN LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Ronald A. Jakob's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by September 30, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 30, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S062562

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Pease's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 8, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 114 additional days are contemplated.

S080054

PEOPLE v. LINTON (DANIEL ANDREW)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 15, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 15, 2008. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S092240

PEOPLE v. BOYCE (KEVIN DEWAYN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 2, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 16, 2008. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 165 additional days are contemplated.

PEOPLE v. NIEVES (SANDI DAWN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Amitai Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 15, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 26, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days are contemplated.

S097668

PEOPLE v. SHERMANTINE, JR., (WESLEY HOWARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 22, 2008.

S097886

PEOPLE v. ZARAGOZA (LOUIS RANGEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 8, 2008.

S113653

PEOPLE v. HOYT (RYAN JAMES)

Extension of time denied

The motion for extension of time until November 13, 2008, to file appellant's opening brief filed on July 16, 2008, is denied. On the court's own motion and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 15, 2008.

S137676

HORNING (DANNY RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robert Gezi's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 21, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 22, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 122 additional days are contemplated.

WILLIAMS (GEORGE BRETT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Daniel N. Abrahamson's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 11, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 16, 2008. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S160814

VIRGIL (LESTER WAYNE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Erika D. Jackson's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 5, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 10, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 86 additional days are contemplated.

S162647 H030272 Sixth Appellate District

SAN JOSE, CITY OF v. OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL UNION NO. 3

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to August 18, 2008.

S165180

WILSON (PETE) v. BOWEN (DEBRA)/(ABRAHAMSON)

Order filed

A preliminary opposition to the petition for writ of mandate and request for stay must be served and filed at the San Francisco office of this court by 9:00 a.m. on Friday, July 25, 2008, and any reply must be served and filed by 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 29, 2008.

The opposition and reply may be in letter form and filed via facsimile at (415) 865-7183 with original and copies to follow by mail using next day service.

SUSPENSION - NONPAYMENT

Suspension for non-payment of family support ordered

The Executive Director/Secretary of the State Bar of California having on the 18th day of July 2008 filed in this court its resolution recommending suspension from practice and membership in the State Bar of California of the members hereinafter named whose names appear on the certified list prepared by the California Department of Child Support Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations and who have failed to obtain a release from the appropriate local Child Support agency; and it being provided by Family Code section 17520 that any member so failing must be suspended from membership;

IT IS ORDERED that the persons hereinafter named are and each of them is suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after November 5, 2008;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate local Child Support agency pursuant to Family Code section 17520, the State Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and such person shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided each of the persons named shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding oneself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.

(SEE ORIGINAL ORDER FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

S165296

SUSPENSION - NONPAYMENT

Suspension for non-payment of family support ordered

The Executive Director/Secretary of the State Bar of California having on the 18th day of July 2008 filed in this court its resolution recommending suspension from practice and membership in the State Bar of California of the members hereinafter named whose names appear on the certified list prepared by the California Department of Child Support Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations and who have failed to obtain a release from the appropriate local Child Support agency; and it being provided by Family Code section 17520 that any member so failing must be suspended from membership;

IT IS ORDERED that the persons hereinafter named are and each of them is suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after August 16, 2008;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate local Child Support agency pursuant to Family Code section 17520, the State

Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and such person shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided each of the persons named shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding oneself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.

(SEE ORIGINAL ORDER FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)